

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,377	10/17/2000	Noboru Aiba	JG-KM-4818D	2920	
7	590 12/14/2001				
Jules E Goldberg			EXAMINER		
Reed Smith LL 375 Park Aven	ue		TAWFIK,	TAWFIK, SAMEH	
New York, NY 10152			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/690,377	AIBA ET AL.				
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14/03/2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first of the control o	If extension and the corresponding amousthe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: 6 and 9.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7.						
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<i>[].[]</i> ,				
10. Other:		Mad Made				
		Rinaldi I. Rada				
		Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700				
		Citab at an				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 9 "at a ragne of 2 to 15 mm" and "closely sealed both" changes the scope of the claim and would require further search and consideration.